BEFORE THE BOARD OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

IN AND FOR THE STATE OF UTAH

PART I: IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST FOR AGENCY ACTION OF NEWFIELD PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR AN ORDER ESTABLISHING 40-ACRE DRILLING AND SPACING UNITS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF OIL, GAS, AND OTHER HYDROCARBONS FROM THE GREEN RIVER FORMATION IN THE \$1/2 OF SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 4 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, U.S.M., AND THE \$1/2 OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 4 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, U.S.M., AND THE \$1/2 OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 4 SOUTH, RANGE 1 EAST, U.S.M., UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH.

PART II: IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST FOR AGENCY ACTION OF NEWFIELD PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR AN ORDER POOLING ALL INTERESTS IN THE SO-ESTABLISHED DRILLING AND SPACING UNITS IN THE S1/2 OF SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 4 SOUTH, RANGE 1 WEST, U.S.M., AND THE S1/2 OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 4 SOUTH, RANGE 1 EAST, U.S.M., UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH.

DOCKET NO. 2010-025 CAUSE NO. 266-03

TAKEN AT: Department of Natural Resources

1594 West North Temple, Room 1040

Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE: Wednesday, December 8, 2010

TIME: 4:39 p.m. to 4:57 p.m.

REPORTED BY: Michelle Mallonee, RPR

ATKINSON BAKER COURT REPORTING JOB #A403309

1	APPEARANCES	1	Docket No. 2010-025 Cause No. 266-03
2		2	Wednesday, December 8, 2010
3	BOARD OF OIL, GAS AND MINING: Douglas E. Johnson, Chairman	3	(The proceedings began at 4:39 P.M. a.m.)
4	Ruland J. Gill, Jr.	4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Now, if I'm keeping
5	Jake Y. Harouny	5	track correctly, we have two more matters: Newfield, and
	James T. Jensen	6	Wolverine. Is that correct? Okay.
6	Kelly L. Payne Samuel C. Quigley	7	•
7	Jean Semborski (Excused)	8	Are we I think we're doing Newfield next. Is
8	,		that correct, Mr. Clawson?
9	DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING:	9	MR. CLAWSON: It's next on the agenda. It's up
10	John R. Baza, Director Dana Dean, Associate Director, Mining	10	to you.
11	John Rogers, Associate Director, Oil and Gas	11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: This is Agenda Item No. 4,
	Jim Springer, Public Information Officer	12	Docket No. 2010-025 Cause No. 266-03 - Part I: In the
12	Steve Schneider, Administrative Policy Coordinator	13	Matter of the Request for Agency Action of Newfield
13	Julie Ann Carter, Secretary to the Board	14	Production Company for an Order Establishing 40-Acre
14		15	Drilling and Spacing Units for the Production of Oil,
15	ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL:	16	Gas, and other Hydrocarbons from the Green River
16	Fred Donaldson - Division Attorney Steve Alder - Division Attorney	17	Formation in the S1/2 of Section 13, Township 4 South,
17	Emily Lewis - Division Attorney	18	Range 1 West, U.S.M., and the S1/2 of Section 19,
	Michael S. Johnson - Board Attorney	19	Township 4 South, Range 1 West, U.S.M., and the S1/2 of
18		20	Section 19, Township 4 South, Range 1 East, U.S.M.,
19 20		21	Uintah County, Utah.
21		22	Part II: In the Matter of the Request for Agency
22		23	Action of Newfield Production Company for an Order
23 24		24	Pooling all Interests in the So-Established Drilling and
25		25	Spacing Units in the S1/2 of Section 13, Township 4
	D 2	23	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	FOR NEWFIELD PRODUCTION COMPANY:	1	South, Range 1 West, U.S.M., and the S1/2 of Section 19,
2	THOMAS W. CLAWSON, ESQ.	2	Township 4 South, Range 1 East, U.S.M., Uintah County,
	VAN COTT, BAGLEY, CORNWALL & MCCARTHY	3	Utah.
3	36 South State Street	4	
	Suite 1900	5	Mr. Clawson, you are representing Newfield?
4	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111		MR. CLAWSON: Correct. Tom Clawson on behalf of
5 6	FOR THE DIVISION OF OIL, GAS, AND MINING:	6	Newfield Production Company.
7	STEVEN F. ALDER, ESQ.	7	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Alder, you are
'	UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	8	representing the Division?
8	Natural Resources Division	9	MR. ALDER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
	1594 West North Temple	10	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Before we go on, can we go
9	Suite 300	11	off the record for just a minute?
10	Salt Lake City, Utah 84116	12	(A discussion was held off the record.)
11		13	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's go back on the record,
12		14	then.
13		15	Mr. Clawson, go ahead.
14		16	MR. CLAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15		17	As the Board will recall, this is a continuation
16		18	of the Board's October 27 hearing, where the Board
17 18		19	approved 40-acre spacing for 16 wells in the Green River
19		20	formation and in the Uinta Basin, and force pooled the
20		21	interests of the nonconsenting owners that Newfield had
21		22	been able to locate.
22			
00		23	In that hearing, the Board required that
23			AL COLL LINE ROLL IN A SECOND
24		24	Newfield publish individualized notice for the purposes
	Page 3	24 25	Newfield publish individualized notice for the purposes of providing notice to the unlocatable nonconsenting $Page\ 5$

owners to apprise them of their opportunity to either 1 the intended wellbore, or the applicant has obtained the 2 lease their interests or join in the proposed wells. 2 written consent of the owner to the proposed directional 3 3 I'm here to tell you that Newfield has done that drilling program." 4 4 publication, as evidenced by the affidavits of Based on the record that we created in the 5 5 publication that we recently filed with the Board. The October hearing, the Board knows that we can't get 6 6 notice was published in the Salt Lake Tribune, the 100 percent of the written consent from all of the 7 Deseret News, and the Uintah Basin Standard for two owners. Some of the owners are uncooperative. They 8 weeks, two consecutive weeks. Haven't heard anything won't do anything. Others of the owners are just flat 9 9 from anybody. I don't know that the Board has. I not locatable. We can't find them. So therefore, 10 haven't seen any notice of anything. 10 Newfield -- well, the Division can't approve these 11 The Board recently issued its findings of fact, 11 permits for the directional drilling because we can't get 12 12 conclusions of law, and order establishing drilling units all of the written comments, which means we have to come 13 13 in an interim pooling order regarding pooling the back before the Board simply for the purpose of approving 14 interests of the locatable nonconsenting owners. And in 14 the directional permit. 15 view of the fact that we've satisfied the condition that 15 And I'm thinking that the Board probably doesn't 16 16 want to go through that process. All of the evidence the Board had regarding the interim order, I would ask 17 17 that the Board make the pooling -- well, the interim that we would need is in the record. We established that 18 order final as the pooling order with respect to the 18 on October 27th. 19 19 unlocatable consenting owners. That's the first point of So I'm here to move the Board to waive its 20 business. 20 requirement in its rules, which the Board has the 21 But since the Board's hearing, an issue has been authority to do, to waive the requirement in Rule 22 identified that was not addressed at the Board's hearing. 22 649-3-11, it's Subsection 1, requiring written consent 23 And it's basically a consequence of the Law of Unintended 2.3 from all of the owners within a 460-foot radius of the Consequences. If the Board remembers, there was concerns wellbore in these directional wells before the Division 25 about Newfield proposing several of the wells be drilled can approve the directional well permit -- directional Page 8 1 1 in exception well locations, because Newfield had agreed drilling permit. 2 with surface owners to move the surface location to avoid 2 MR. JENSEN: And we can do that without notice? 3 3 interrupting their farming operations. Because of those MR. CLAWSON: Yes. You have the authority to, 4 4 concerns, Newfield agreed to move all but one of the you know, modify your rules. We've had a hearing. 5 5 bottom hole locations for the wells back within the There's evidence in the record. These people have been 6 400-foot square normal drilling window. Since that time, notified of these wells, you know. They can't be found. Newfield has agreed to move all of the bottom hole It's circular. I mean, it's sort of an unintended 8 locations back underneath the normal drilling window. So 8 consequence. 9 9 MR. JENSEN: Help refresh me. So the issue of there are no issues about an exception well location. 10 And in the Board's order, the Board had ordered 10 directional drilling was before this Board? 11 that the Division would administratively approve any 11 MR. CLAWSON: Yes. 12 12 exception well locations. Now there aren't any exception MR. JENSEN: So that you know, Tom, I'm just 13 well locations. Newfield's agreed to directionally drill 13 worried about whether we've got any kind of a notice. 14 the wells. But it turns out that before the Division can 14 MR. CLAWSON: I understand that. As you recall 15 approve a permit for a directional drill, Newfield has to 15 the hearing in October, we had APDs. We filed all of our 16 present 100 percent written consent from all the owners APD plats. Some of those wells -- and I can't remember, 17 17 there's a handful. There's, like, five of them -- had within a 460-foot radius of the wellbore, you know, in 18 between the surface location and what will now be normal 18 locations. And they were going to be drilled as vertical 19 locations in the drilling window. 19 wells outside of the normal drilling window. And there 20 20 The Board's rule regarding that is R649-3-11. were issues raised about whether notice had been provided 21 21 And so the Board knows what it says, "An application" -to parties outside of the subject lands to apprise them 22 it says in part, "An application for directional drilling 22 of the exception well location. And that was a good

23

24

25

Page 7

concern.

23

25

may be approved by the Division without notice and

hearing when the applicant is the owner of all the oil

and gas within a radius of 460 feet from all points along

Page 9

And so to resolve that, Newfield agreed to

directionally drill the wells so they came -- so the

1	hottom halo locations came had within the normal well	1	wellborn complete could own down to E000 feet, and you
1	bottom hole locations came back within the normal well	2	wellbore somebody could own down to 5000 feet, and you
2	window. So directional drilling was brought up and	3	could have a wellbore that's going 10,000 feet. And you
3 4	addressed and agreed to at the October hearing. It was	4	are going to directionally drill through there, even
5	at issue.	5	though you are going to case it and you're not going to
6	The other thing I would point out is by waiving	6	produce from there, or something like that. And you
7	the rule, you are not going to effect any correlative	7	would want the owner, which is the party to which the oil
8	rights because, one, all these wells are coming back	8	and gas is allocated, you'd want to give them the
	within the normal drilling window. And all of the	9	opportunity to say, "No, I don't want you drilling
9 10	ownership throughout the entire all of the subject	10	through my land." But that's not the case here. It's
11	lands is uniform. There isn't any disparate ownership.	11	uniform. That would be why I would think
12	Everyone's going to share in the interest the	12	MR. GILL: Just a technical legal question: Are all of the interests that are for question
13	proceeds.	13	·
	MR. JENSEN: Mike?	14	technically within the drilling unit?
14	MR. JOHNSON: I'm thinking along the same lines		MR. CLAWSON: Yes.
15	you are and maybe you just answered it and that is:	15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: If that's the case, then
16	Everyone had notice of the last proceeding. Is this	16	40-6-6.5 Subsection 2(a) says, "In the absence of a
17	particular bit of relief, is it something different than	17	written agreement for the pooling, the Board may enter an
18	what was noticed up before?	18	order pooling all interests in the drilling unit for the
19	MR. CLAWSON: And this is a continuation of that	19	development and operation of the drilling unit."
20	prior proceeding. The Board could have done it in	20	2(b) says, "The Order should be made upon terms
21	October, but it didn't come up on anyone's radar that,	21	and conditions which are just and reasonable."
22	"Wait a minute. If you directionally drill, you need the	22	MR. CLAWSON: The Board has yeah. I mean
23	written consent."	23	MR. GILL: So isn't that the statutory
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Tom, which is the rule that	24	MR. CLAWSON: the pooling order can do
25	you are asking us to waive?	25	MR. JENSEN: That's different than
	Page 10		Page 12
1	MD CLAWCON, D640 2.11 Subsection 1. That's	1	MD CLAM/CON. No no it's not. It's the same
1	MR. CLAWSON: R649-3-11, Subsection 1. That's	1	MR. CLAWSON: No, no, it's not. It's the same
2	what I read the quote from.	2	thing
2	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under	2 3	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that
2 3 4	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the	2 3 4	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us
2 3 4 5	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most.	2 3 4 5	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling
2 3 4 5 6	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I	2 3 4 5 6	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior
2 3 4 5 6 7	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to	2 3 4 5 6 7	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our consent of 100 percent of the landowners? What is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory MR. ALDER: The point is the people you've been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our consent of 100 percent of the landowners? What is the material effect?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory MR. ALDER: The point is the people you've been trying to give notice to are the same people that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our consent of 100 percent of the landowners? What is the material effect? MR. CLAWSON: I would think that, you know, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory MR. ALDER: The point is the people you've been trying to give notice to are the same people that you already can't give notice to, or that you already have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our consent of 100 percent of the landowners? What is the material effect? MR. CLAWSON: I would think that, you know, this is a rather odd situation, where all of the ownership is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory MR. ALDER: The point is the people you've been trying to give notice to are the same people that you already can't give notice to, or that you already have given notice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our consent of 100 percent of the landowners? What is the material effect? MR. CLAWSON: I would think that, you know, this is a rather odd situation, where all of the ownership is uniform throughout all of the lands. That's typically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory MR. ALDER: The point is the people you've been trying to give notice to are the same people that you already can't give notice to, or that you already have given notice. Go ahead, Brad.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what I read the quote from. MR. JENSEN: What about if we took this under advisement and let Mike look at it and get back with the Board. And we won't I'm thinking a few days at most. My hope is that we can get where you want to be. But I would like to have our counsel to MR. CLAWSON: I was trying to avoid coming back to the Board with the whole matter again. MR. JENSEN: We understand. MR. PAYNE: Can you help me understand or maybe the Board can what would be the purpose of that rule? Why would we require notice? I don't understand what the consequence would be in waiving that. MR. CLAWSON: It's just that the way it would work out is the Division can't approve the directional drilling. MR. PAYNE: I understand that. Why would we have written that rule that required notice or our consent of 100 percent of the landowners? What is the material effect? MR. CLAWSON: I would think that, you know, this is a rather odd situation, where all of the ownership is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	thing MR. GILL: Is that the statutory authority that would give us MR. CLAWSON: we're dealing with. A pooling order could do that. We didn't address that at the prior hearing. MR. GILL: And that could trump the regulations? MR. ALDER: Well, the regulations do have provisions that allow us to suspend the rules for good cause. And it seems like this is on the verge of Catch-22, and certainly good cause. The Division has a few responses to the questions of the Board, if you would care to hear them, Mr. Chairman. MR. GILL: I'd like to hear from counsel on that. But that seems like a place we can start. Certainly, a force majeure impossibility has to allow us some regulatory MR. ALDER: The point is the people you've been trying to give notice to are the same people that you already can't give notice to, or that you already have given notice.

1	To address Mr. Payne's question about the reason	1	can
2	for that rule, it's pretty typical within the drilling	2	MR. JENSEN: I move that we take this matter
3	industry to, say, permit a well for the Green River	3	under advisement, allow our counsel the opportunity to
4	formation. And at the bottom hole location here, we'd be	4	look at the request to waive the requirements of this
5	talking Green River formation. But in some instances it	5	R649-3-11(1). And it is our intent, subject to his
6	doesn't pan out, so we want to go up hole and test or try	6	review and concurrence that that is appropriate, that
7	to produce from another formation up hole, which will be	7	this Board would intend to approve your request. And we
8	in a different position relative to the bottom hole	8	would intend to do that within the next 48 hours or so.
9	location.	9	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Is there a second?
10	So it's just to make sure that the operator does	10	MR. QUIGLEY: I'll second that.
11	have the mineral rights within that 460 radius of the	11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Any discussion?
12	entire wellbore, just in case there should be a higher	12	All those in favor say aye.
13	completion attempted and that it doesn't conflict with	13	THE BOARD: Aye.
14	anybody's mineral rights.	14	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Anyone opposed? Okay.
15	MR. PAYNE: Thank you, Brad.	15	So that's what we should do.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Alder, did you say you	16	MR. CLAWSON: Okay. Thank you. And thank you
17	had some other answers?	17	for your patience today.
18	MR. ALDER: We were just wondering, the 460	18	(The matter concluded at 4:57 p.m.)
19	setback would still be in the Order for the location of	19	(
20	the wells?	20	
21	MR. CLAWSON: Well, from the boundaries of the	21	
22	drilling unit? I mean, they're headed toward the legal	22	
23	location.	23	
24	MR. ALDER: I don't think we have any other	24	
25	questions. We kind of support the request.	25	
23		23	P 16
	Page 14		Page 16
	-		
1	MR CLAWSON: What I envision is we need to do a	1	<u> </u>
1 2	MR. CLAWSON: What I envision is we need to do a	1 2	CERTIFICATE
2	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain		<u> </u>
2	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the	2 3	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss.
2 3 4	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a	2 3 4	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake)
2 3 4 5	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the	2 3	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss.
2 3 4 5	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like,	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:
2 3 4 5 6 7	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed; I further certify that I am not of kin or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed; I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed; I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed; I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed; I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CERTIFICATE State of Utah) ss. County of Salt Lake) I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify: That the proceedings of said matter was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; That the same constitutes a true and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed; I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties of said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it under advisement. But our plan would be to get a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it under advisement. But our plan would be to get a decision out to you within, say, the next 48 hours.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it under advisement. But our plan would be to get a decision out to you within, say, the next 48 hours. MR. GILL: Do you want to make that into a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it under advisement. But our plan would be to get a decision out to you within, say, the next 48 hours. MR. GILL: Do you want to make that into a motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it under advisement. But our plan would be to get a decision out to you within, say, the next 48 hours. MR. GILL: Do you want to make that into a motion? MR. JENSEN: I can, if you want.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE State of Utah
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Final Order, anyway. And the Final Order could contain findings of fact that go to this issue, based on the record that we already presented. There'd be a conclusion of law that provides the authority that the Board has to alter its rules, which it has. It's, like, 649-2-3, or something like that. And then there'd be the order that, just for the purposes of these wells and only these wells, the Board would waive that requirement of written notice. And the reason, the finding is, is there are people that are not locatable, you know. I mean, we can't get the MR. JENSEN: I'm inclined to do what he's asking. I'm just asking that our counsel have 24, 48 hours to look at it and just make sure that you are okay with it. So that's why I'm suggesting that we MR. CLAWSON: I don't have a problem with that at all. MR. JENSEN: So I'm suggesting we'll take it under advisement. But our plan would be to get a decision out to you within, say, the next 48 hours. MR. GILL: Do you want to make that into a motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE State of Utah

A	8:19,20 9:10 10:20	CSR 17:17	flat 8:8	Jensen 2:5 9:2,9,12 10:1
able 5:22	11:5,9,12 12:17,22		force 5:20 13:18	11:3,10 12:25 15:13,1
absence 12:16	13:14 15:6,9 16:7,13	D	form 17:8	15:24 16:2
action 1:5,11 4:13,23	Board's 5:18 6:21,22	Dana 2:10	formation 1:7 4:17 5:20	Jim 2:11
17:12	7:10,20 bottom 7:5,7 10:1 14:4,8	DATE 1:20	14:4,5,7 found 9:6	JOB 1:24 John 2:10,11
address 13:6 14:1	boundaries 14:21	days 11:5	Fred 2:16	Johnson 2:4,17 4:4,11
addressed 6:22 10:3	Brad 13:24 14:15	dealing 13:5 Dean 2:10	further 17:11	5:7,10,13 10:14,24
Administrative 2:12	Brass 13:25	December 1:20 4:2	141111	12:15 14:16 16:9,11,1
administratively 7:11 advisement 11:4 15:20	brought 10:2	decision 15:21	G	join 6:2
16:3	business 6:20	Department 1:2,18	gas 1:1,6 2:3,9,11 3:6	Jr 2:4
affidavits 6:4		Deseret 6:7	4:16 7:25 12:7	Julie 2:12
Agency 1:5,10 4:13,22	C	development 12:19	GENERAL 2:15	
agenda 4:9,11	C 2:6	different 10:17 12:25	GENERAL'S 3:7	K
agreed 7:1,4,7,13 9:24	care 13:14	14:8	Gill 2:4 12:11,23 13:3,8	keeping 4:4
10:3	Carter 2:12	directional 7:15,22 8:2	13:16 15:22,25	Kelly 2:6
agreement 12:17	case 11:25 12:4,9,15 14:12	8:11,14,24,25,25 9:10 10:2 11:16	give 12:7 13:4,21,22	kin 17:11 kind 9:13 14:25
ahead 5:15 13:24	Catch-22 13:12	directionally 7:13 9:25	given 13:23 go 5:10,10,13,15 8:16	know 6:9 7:17 9:4,6,12
Alder 2:16 3:7 5:7,9 13:9 13:20 14:16,18,24	cause 1:16 4:1,12 13:11	10:22 12:3	13:24 14:6 15:3	11:22 15:11
allocated 12:7	13:12 17:12	Director 2:10,10,11	going 9:18 10:6,11 12:2,3	knows 7:21 8:5
allow 13:10,18 16:3	certainly 13:12,18	discussion 5:12 16:11	12:4,4	
alter 15:6	CERTIFICATE 17:1	disparate 10:10 11:25	good 9:22 13:10,12	L
Ann 2:12	certify 17:6,11	Division 2:9,16,16,17 3:6	Green 1:7 4:16 5:19 14:3	L 2:6
answered 10:15	Chairman 2:4 4:4,11 5:7	3:8 5:8 7:11,14,23 8:10	14:5	Lake 1:19 3:4,9 6:6 17:4
answers 14:17	5:9,10,13,16 10:24	8:24 11:16 13:13,25		land 12:9
anybody 6:9	12:15 13:15 14:16	Docket 1:16 4:1,12	Н	landowners 11:20
anybody's 14:14	16:9,11,14	doing 4:7	handful 9:17	lands 9:21 10:10 11:24
anyone's 10:21	circular 9:7 City 1:19 3:4,9	Donaldson 2:16 Douglas 2:4	Harouny 2:5	law 6:12,23 15:5
anyway 15:2 APD 9:16	Clawson 3:2 4:8,9 5:4,5,5	drill 7:13,15 9:25 10:22	headed 14:22	lease 6:2
APDs 9:15	5:15,16 9:3,11,14	12:3	hear 13:14,16 heard 6:8	legal 12:11 14:22 Let's 5:13
APPEARANCES 2:1	10:19 11:1,8,15,22	drilled 6:25 9:18	hearing 5:18,23 6:21,22	Lewis 2:17
applicant 7:24 8:1	12:14,22,24 13:1,5	drilling 1:6,11 4:15,24	7:24 8:5 9:4,15 10:3	lines 10:14
application 7:21,22	14:21 15:1,17 16:16	6:12 7:6,8,19,22 8:3,11	13:7	locatable 6:14 8:9 15:11
apprise 6:1 9:21	come 8:12 10:21	9:1,10,19 10:2,8 11:17	held 5:12	locate 5:22
appropriate 16:6	coming 10:7 11:8	12:8,13,18,19 14:2,22	help 9:9 11:11	location 7:2,9,18 9:22
approve 7:11,15 8:10,25	comments 8:12		higher 14:12	14:4,9,19,23
11:16 16:7	Company 1:5,11 3:1 4:14 4:23 5:6	<u>E</u>	Hill 13:25,25	locations 7:1,5,8,12,13
approved 5:19 7:23 approving 8:13	completion 14:13	E 2:4	hole 7:5,7 10:1 14:4,6,7,8	7:19 9:18 10:1
approving 8.13 asking 10:25 15:14,14	concern 9:23	East 1:9,13 4:20 5:2 effect 10:6 11:21	hope 11:6 hours 15:15,21 16:8	look 11:4 15:15 16:4
ASSISTANT 2:15	concerns 6:24 7:4	either 6:1	Hydrocarbons 1:7 4:16	M
Associate 2:10,11	concluded 16:18	Emily 2:17	llydrocarbons 1.7 4.10	majeure 13:18
associated 17:11	conclusion 15:5	enter 12:17		Mallonee 1:22 17:5,17
ATKINSON 1:24	conclusions 6:12	entire 10:9 14:12	identified 6:22	material 11:21
attempted 14:13	concurrence 16:6	envision 15:1	II 1:10 4:22	matter 1:5,10 4:13,22
Attorney 2:16,16,17,17	condition 6:15	ESQ 3:2,7	impossibility 13:18	11:9 16:2,18 17:7
3:7	conditions 12:21 conflict 14:13	established 8:17	inclined 15:13	matters 4:5
ATTORNEYS 2:15	consecutive 6:8	establishing 1:6 4:14	individualized 5:24	MCCARTHY 3:2
authority 8:21 9:3 13:3 15:5	consent 7:16 8:2,6,22	6:12	industry 14:3	mean 9:7 12:22 14:22
avoid 7:2 11:8	10:23 11:20	event 17:12 Everyone's 10:11	Information 2:11	15:11
aye 16:12,13	consenting 6:19	evidence 8:16 9:5	instances 14:5	means 8:12
ı.m 4:3	consequence 6:23 9:8	evidenced 6:4	intend 16:7,8 intended 8:1	Michael 2:17
A403309 1:24	11:14	exception 7:1,9,12,12	intended 8.1	Michelle 1:22 17:5,17 Mike 10:13 11:4
	Consequences 6:24	9:22	interest 10:11	mineral 14:11,14
В	constitutes 17:9	Excused 2:7	interested 17:12	Mining 1:1 2:3,9,10 3:6
back 5:13 7:5,8 8:13 10:1	contain 15:2		interests 1:11 4:24 5:21	minute 5:11 10:22
10:7 11:4,8	continuation 5:17 10:19 Coordinator 2:12	F	6:2,14 12:12,18	modify 9:4
BAGLEY 3:2	CORNWALL 3:2	F 3:7	interim 6:13,16,17	motion 15:23
BAKER 1:24	correct 4:6,8 5:5 17:9	fact 6:11,15 15:3	interrupting 7:3	move 7:2,4,7 8:19 16:2
pased 8:4 15:3	correctly 4:5	farming 7:3	issue 6:21 9:9 10:4 15:3	
pasically 6:23	correlative 10:6	favor 16:12	issued 6:11	N
Basin 5:20 6:7 Baza 2:10	COTT 3:2	feet 7:25 12:1,2	issues 7:9 9:20	Natural 1:2,18 3:8
Baza 2:10 began 4:3	counsel 11:7 13:16 15:14	filed 6:5 9:15	Item 4:11	need 8:17 10:22 15:1
behalf 5:5	16:3	final 6:18 15:2,2 find 8:9		Newfield 1:5,11 3:1 4:5,
bit 10:17	County 1:9,13 4:21 5:2	find 8:9 finding 15:10	J	4:13,23 5:4,6,21,24 6
Board 1:1 2:3,12,17 5:17	17:4	findings 6:11 15:3	J 2:4	6:25 7:1,4,7,15 8:10
5:18,23 6:5,9,11,16,17	COURT 1:24	first 6:19	Jake 2:5 James 2:5	9:24 Newfield's 7:13
	created 8:4		values 4.3	THE WITCH S /.13
6:24 7:10,21 8:5,13,15	created o	five 9:17	Jean 2:7	News 6:7

nonconsenting 5:21,25	probably 8:15	River 1:7 4:16 5:19 14:3	thereof 17:12	10:2,8
6:14	problem 15:17	14:5	thing 10:5 13:2	Wolverine 4:6
normal 7:6,8,18 9:19	proceeding 10:16,20	Rogers 2:11	thing 10.3 13.2 think 4:7 11:22 12:10	wondering 14:18
	proceeding 10:16,20 proceedings 4:3 17:7,9		14:24	
10:1,8		Room 1:18		work 11:16
North 1:18 3:8	proceeds 10:12	RPR 1:22 17:17	thinking 8:15 10:14 11:5	worried 9:13
Notary 17:5	process 8:16	Ruland 2:4	THOMAS 3:2	written 7:16 8:2,6,12,22
notice 5:24,25 6:6,10	produce 12:5 14:7	rule 7:20 8:21 10:6,24	time 1:21 7:6	10:23 11:19 12:17
7:23 9:2,13,20 10:16	Production 1:5,6,11 3:1	11:13,19 14:2	today 16:17	15:10
11:13,19 13:21,22,23	4:14,15,23 5:6	rules 8:20 9:4 13:10 15:6	Tom 5:5 9:12 10:24	
15:10	Professional 17:5	R649-3-11 7:20 11:1	Township 1:7,8,9,12,13	Y
noticed 10:18	program 8:3	R649-3-11(1) 16:5	4:17,19,20,25 5:2	Y 2:5
notified 9:6	proposed 6:2 8:2		track 4:5	yeah 12:22
	proposing 6:25	S	transcribed 17:7,10	
O	provided 9:20	S 2:17	transcription 17:9	1
obtained 8:1	provides 15:5	Salt 1:19 3:4,9 6:6 17:4	Tribune 6:6	1 1:8,8,9,12,13 4:18,19
October 5:18 8:5,18 9:15	providing 5:25	Samuel 2:6	true 17:9	4:20 5:1,2 8:22 11:1
10:3,21	provisions 13:10	satisfied 6:15	trump 13:8	10,000 12:2
odd 11:23	Public 2:11 17:5	says 7:21,22 12:16,20	try 14:6	,
OFFICE 3:7	publication 6:4,5	Schneider 2:12	trying 11:8 13:21	100 7:16 8:6 11:20
Officer 2:11	publish 5:24	second 16:9,10	turns 7:14	1040 1:18
oil 1:1,6 2:3,9,11 3:6 4:15	published 6:6	Secretary 2:12	two 4:5 6:7,8	13 1:7,12 4:17,25
7:24 12:6	purpose 8:13 11:12	Section 1:7,8,9,12,13	typewritten 17:8	1594 1:18 3:8
okav 4:4,6 15:15 16:11	purposes 5:24 15:8	4:17,18,20,25 5:1	typical 14:2	16 5:19
16:14,16	p.m 1:21,21 4:3 16:18		typically 11:24	19 1:8,9,13 4:18,20 5:1
	p.m 1.21,21 4.3 10.10	seen 6:10	typically 11.24	1900 3:3
operation 12:19	Q	Semborski 2:7		
operations 7:3		setback 14:19		2
operator 14:10	question 12:11,12 14:1	share 10:11	Uinta 5:20	2(a) 12:16
opportunity 6:1 12:8	questions 13:14 14:25	simply 8:13	Uintah 1:9,13 4:21 5:2	2(b) 12:20
16:3	Quigley 2:6 16:10	situation 11:23	6:7	2010 1:20 4:2
opposed 16:14	quote 11:2	somebody 12:1	uncooperative 8:7	2010-025 1:16 4:1,12
order 1:6,11 4:14,23		sort 9:7	underneath 7:8	24 15:14
6:12,13,16,18,18 7:10	R	South 1:7,8,9,12,13 3:3	understand 9:14 11:10	266-03 1:16 4:1,12
12:18,20,24 13:6 14:19	R 2:10	4:17,19,20 5:1,2	11:11,13,18	27 5:18
15:2,2,8	radar 10:21	So-Established 1:11 4:24	uniform 10:10 11:24	27th 8:18
ordered 7:10	radius 7:17,25 8:23	spacing 1:6,12 4:15,25	12:10	27th 6.16
outside 9:19,21	14:11	5:19	unintended 6:23 9:7	3
owner 7:24 8:2 12:6	raised 9:20	Springer 2:11	unit 12:13,18,19 14:22	
owners 5:21 6:1,14,19	Range 1:8,8,9,12,13 4:18	square 7:6	units 1:6,12 4:15,25 6:12	300 3:9
7:2,16 8:7,7,8,23	4:19,20 5:1,2	ss 17:3	unlocatable 5:25 6:19	36 3:3
ownership 10:9,10 11:23	read 11:2	Standard 6:7	Utah 1:3,9,13,19 3:4,7,9	
11:25	reason 14:1 15:10	start 13:17	4:21 5:3 17:3,6	4
	reasonable 12:21	State 1:3 3:3 17:3,6	U.S.M 1:8,8,9,12,13 4:18	4 1:7,8,9,12,13 4:11,17
P	recall 5:17 9:14	statutory 12:23 13:3	4:19,20 5:1,2	4:19,20,25 5:2
pan 14:6	record 5:11,12,13 8:4,17	stenotype 17:7	,20 0,2	4:39 1:21 4:3
-		Steve 2:12,16		4:57 1:21 16:18
part 1:5,10 4:12,22 7:22	9:5 15:4,25 refresh 9:9	STEVEN 3:7		40-acre 1:6 4:14 5:19
particular 10:17		Street 3:3	VAN 3:2	40-6-6.5 12:16
parties 9:21 17:11	regarding 6:13,16 7:20	subject 9:21 10:9 16:5	verge 13:11	400-foot 7:6
party 12:6	Registered 17:5	Subsection 8:22 11:1	vertical 9:18	460 7:25 14:11,18
patience 16:17	regulations 13:8,9	12:16	view 6:15	460-foot 7:17 8:23
Payne 2:6 11:11,18 14:15	regulatory 13:19	suggesting 15:16,19		48 15:14,21 16:8
Payne's 14:1	relative 14:8	Suite 3:3,9	W	70 13.17,21 10.0
people 9:5 13:20,21	relief 10:17	support 14:25	W 3:2	
15:11	remember 9:16	support 14:25 sure 14:10 15:15	Wait 10:22	5
percent 7:16 8:6 11:20	remembers 6:24		waive 8:19,21 10:25 15:9	5000 12:1
permit 7:15 8:14,25 9:1	reported 1:22 17:7	surface 7:2,2,18	16:4	l
14:3	Reporter 17:5	suspend 13:10	waiving 10:5 11:14	6
permits 8:11	REPORTING 1:24	S1/2 1:7,8,9,12,13 4:17	want 8:16 11:6 12:6,7,8	649-2-3 15:7
place 13:17	representing 5:4,8	4:18,19,25 5:1	14:6 15:22,24	649-3-11 8:22
plan 15:20	request 1:5,10 4:13,22		way 11:15	
plats 9:16	14:25 16:4,7	T	Wednesday 1:20 4:2	8
point 6:19 10:5 13:20	require 11:13	T 2:5	weeks 6:8,8	8 1:20 4:2
points 7:25	required 5:23 11:19	take 15:19 16:2	wellbore 7:17 8:1,24 12:1	8 1:20 4:2 84111 3:4
Policy 2:12	requirement 8:20,21	taken 1:18 17:9	12:2 14:12	84116 3:9
pooled 5:20	15:9	talking 14:5	wells 5:19 6:2,25 7:5,14	04110 3.9
pooling 1:11 4:24 6:13	requirements 16:4	technical 12:11	8:24 9:6,16,19,25 10:7	
6:13,17,18 12:17,18,24	requiring 8:22	technically 12:13	14:20 15:8,9	
13:5	resolve 9:24	tell 6:3	West 1:8,8,12,18 3:8 4:18	
position 14:8	Resources 1:2,18 3:8	Temple 1:18 3:8	4:19 5:1	
present 7:16	respect 6:18	terms 12:20		
presented 15:4	responses 13:13	test 14:6	we'll 15:19	
pretty 14:2	review 16:6	thank 5:16 14:15 16:16	we're 4:7 13:5	
prior 10:20 13:6	rights 10:7 14:11,14	16:16	we've 6:15 9:4,13	
prior 10.20 15.0	11giits 10./ 14.11,14	10.10	window 7:6,8,19 9:19	